



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/866,226	05/24/2001	Eiju Katsuragi	16869S-027400US	5895
20350 · 7590 09/07/2005			EXAMINER	
	AND TOWNSEND A	OSMAN, RAMY M		
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2157	

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/866,226	KATSURAGI ET AL.
	,	Examiner Ramy M. Osman	Art Unit
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>	
Period fo			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may by within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mode, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 N</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under N	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal ma	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abey ction is required if the drawi	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in prity documents have been in the law (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	C	lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Status of Claims

1. This communication is responsive to amendment filed May 5, 2005, following a Petition to Revive after premature Abandonment. Claims 1,3,5,7,9,11,13 and 15 were amended.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, as follows below.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1,3,5,7,9,11,13 and 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In lines 9 and 10 of claim 1, it is not clear what type of processing was performed by the first system. It is unclear if this processing is related to the 'difference control information' or if this is independent processing of information or data. Due to this indefiniteness, it lends that 'processing performed by said first system' has insufficient antecedent basis in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/866,226 Page 3

Art Unit: 2157

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Glenn Π et al (US Patent No 5,852,724).
- 6. In reference to claims 1 and 9, Glenn teaches a data duplicating method and system respectively that connects a first information processing system comprised of a first host computer and a first storage device and at least one second information processing system comprised of a second host computer and a second storage device through a data transfer path and holds the same data in duplicate in said first and second information processing systems by copying first update data generated in said first information processing system to said second information processing system (Abstract, column 2 lines 1-10 and column 3 lines 45-67),

wherein said second information processing system possesses difference control information identifying second update data generated in said second information processing system that takes over and executes a process of said first information processing system when said first information processing system stops operating, and after resumption of operation said first information processing system, said second update data is selectively copied to said first information processing system on the basis of said difference control information (Summary and column 9 lines 15-61).

Application/Control Number: 09/866,226 Page 4

Art Unit: 2157

8. In reference to claims 2,4,6,8,10,12,14 and 16, Glenn teaches a data duplicating method and system according to claims 1 and 9 respectively, wherein said difference control information is a bit map that indicates the presence or absence of completion of data duplication of said first and second update data at a plurality of individual units of data storage in each of said first and second storage devices (column 5 lines 43-67 and column 7 lines 1-45).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glenn II et al (US Patent No 5,852,724) in view of Yanai et al (US Patent No 5,544,347).
- 11. In reference to claims 3,5,11 and 13, Glenn teaches a data duplicating method and system respectively that connects a first information processing system comprised of a first host computer and a first storage device and at least one second information processing system comprised of a second host computer and a second storage device through a data transfer path and holds the same data in duplicate in said first and second information processing systems by copying first update data generated in said first information processing system to said second information processing system (Abstract, column 2 lines 1-10 and column 3 lines 45-67),

Application/Control Number: 09/866,226

Art Unit: 2157

wherein said second information processing system possesses difference control information identifying second update data generated in said second information processing system that takes over and executes a process of said first information processing system when said first information processing system stops operating, and after resumption of operation said first information processing system, said second update data is selectively copied to said first information processing system on the basis of said difference control information (Summary and column 9 lines 15-61).

Glenn fails to explicitly teach wherein the respective method and system include asynchronously copying first update data generated in said first information processing system to said second information processing system. However, Yanai teaches a data backup system with different ways of data transfer such as asynchronously copying first update data generated in said first information processing system to said second information processing system (column 3 lines 1-10).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Glenn by asynchronously copying first update data generated in said first information processing system to said second information processing system as per the teachings of Yanai since this is one of the known ways of transferring backup data.

12. In reference to claims 4,6,12 and 14, Glenn teaches a data duplicating method and system according to claims 1 and 9 respectively, wherein said difference control information is a bit map that indicates the presence or absence of completion of data duplication of said first and second update data at a plurality of individual units of data storage in each of said first and second storage devices (column 5 lines 43-67 and column 7 lines 1-45).

Art Unit: 2157

13. In reference to claims 7 and 15, Glenn teaches a data duplicating method and system respectively that connects a first information processing system comprised of a first host computer and a first storage device and at least one second information processing system comprised of a second host computer and a second storage device through a data transfer path and holds the same data in duplicate in said first and second information processing systems by copying first update data generated in said first information processing system to said second information processing system (Abstract, column 2 lines 1-10 and column 3 lines 45-67),

wherein said second information processing system possesses difference control information identifying second update data generated in said second information processing system that takes over and executes a process of said first information processing system when said first information processing system stops operating, and after resumption of operation said first information processing system, said second update data is selectively copied to said first information processing system on the basis of said difference control information (Summary and column 9 lines 15-61).

Glenn fails to explicitly teach wherein the respective method and system include synchronously copying first update data generated in said first information processing system to said second information processing system. However, Yanai teaches a data backup system with different ways of data transfer such as synchronously copying first update data generated in said first information processing system to said second information processing system (column 3 lines 1-10).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Glenn by synchronously copying first update data generated in said first information processing system to

Application/Control Number: 09/866,226

Art Unit: 2157

said second information processing system as per the teachings of Yanai since this is one of the known ways of transferring backup data.

Page 7

- 14. In reference to claims 8 and 16, Glenn teaches a data duplicating method and system according to claims 1 and 9 respectively, wherein said difference control information is a bit map that indicates the presence or absence of completion of data duplication of said first and second update data at a plurality of individual units of data storage in each of said first and second storage devices (column 5 lines 43-67 and column 7 lines 1-45).
- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/866,226

Art Unit: 2157

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ramy M. Osman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4008.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**RMO** 

August 30, 2005

ARIQ EVIENNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOG : GENTER 2100

Page 8